

EARNINGS MANAGEMENT: OPPORTUNISTIC BEHAVIOR VS EXTERNAL MONITORING MECHANISMS

Yulius Kurnia Susanto
Arya Pradipta

ABSTRACT

The objective of study is to provide the influence of opportunistic behavior versus external monitoring mechanisms on earnings management. Opportunistic behaviors are bonus motivation and free cash flow. While, external monitoring mechanisms are leverage, company size, and sales growth. This research used 194 non-financial companies which listed in Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2016 until 2018, selected by purposive sampling method and used multiple regression as a method of analysis data. The result of study showed that bonus motivation, free cash flow, leverage, company size and sales growth had an effect to earnings management. The effect of bonus motivation on earnings management is significant and positive. The effect of leverage on earnings management is significant and positive. This shows that the monitoring from external parties (like creditors) is very low, resulting in opportunistic behavior to do earnings management. Bonus motivation is one of the opportunistic behavior of management to do earnings management.

Keywords: Bonus motivation, free cash flow, leverage, company size, earnings management

INTRODUCTION

Earnings management is an intervention carried out by management in determining profit for personal gain (Subramanyam, 2014). However, Kusumawardhani (2012) explains that earnings management is not always detrimental if it is carried out within the corridor of opportunity. Earnings management also does not manipulate financial statements because there are options to use several methods and do not violate the provisions. One of the methods used to oversee companies and impose limits on management behavior is corporate governance.

In February 2015 the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) found misstatements in the financial statements of PT Inovisi Infracom Tbk for September 2014. The Indonesia Stock Exchange asked the company to revise eight items in its financial statements including the value of fixed assets and earnings per share. The Indonesia Stock Exchange also stated that the company's management misstated items of cash payments to employees and net receipts (payments) of related party debts in the cash flow statement. The company also recognizes earnings per share based on profit for the period which should be using profit for the period attributable to owners of the parent. This practice makes the company's net income per share appear bigger than it should be (Suhendra, 2015).

This research is a development of Wimelda and Chandra (2018). Prior research using opportunistic behavior consisting of bonus motivation and free cash flow, as well as external monitoring mechanisms consisting of leverage and company size, the difference in research is the addition of sales growth from Linasmi (2017) as a form of monitoring from outside parties because investors want the company to grow. The research motivation is that research on earnings management provides inconsistent results related to opportunistic behavior and external monitoring mechanisms. The objective of study is to provide empirical that opportunistic behavior (bonus motivation and free cash flow) and external monitoring mechanisms (leverage, company size, and sales growth) on earnings management.

Bonus Motivation

Bonus motivation has a positive influence on earnings management (Wimelda and Chandra, 2018). When management report profitability, this can act as an incentive for management to take earnings management and show the company's future profitability (Ghazali, Shafie, & Sanusi, 2015). This opinion is supported by Amertha, Ulupui, & Putri (2014), Yuliana and Trisnawati (2015), and Arifin and Destriana (2016) which state that bonus motivation or what is known as profitability has a positive effect on earnings management. The hypothesis proposed is:

H₁: Bonus motivation affects earnings management.

Free Cash Flow

Free cash flow has a positive effect on earnings management. The manager will try to put a high cash flow value under his control so as to encourage him to do earnings management (Cardoso, Martinez, & Teixeira, 2014). Other research states that free cash flow has a negative effect on earnings management (Wimelda and Chandra, 2018). Companies that have sufficient free cash flow have more confidence in their financial performance, and are therefore less likely to take earnings management. This opinion is supported by Yogi and Damayanthi (2016), Susanto and Bosta (2018) and Ghazali, Shafie, & Sanusi (2015) which states that free cash flow has a negative effect on earnings management. The hypothesis proposed is:

H₂: Free cash flow affects earnings management.

Leverage

Leverage has a negative effect on earnings management (Yuliana and Trisnawati, 2015). The low leverage is due to the company's high earnings management. This opinion is supported by Aygun, Suleyman Ic, & Sayim (2014), Guna and Herawaty (2010), Santoso and Pudjolaksono (2013), Sari and Asyik (2013), and Susanto (2013) who state that leverage has a negative effect on earnings management

Leverage has a positive effect on earnings management (Wimelda and Chandra, 2018). When the company has a high leverage, management is more likely to engage in earnings management to reduce the company's risk to investors. This opinion is supported by Susanto, Pirzada, & Adrienne (2019), Arifin and Destriana (2016), Prastiti and Meiranto (2013), Kusumaningtyas (2012), Sosiawan (2012), and Bassiouny, Soliman, & Ragab (2016) which state that leverage has a positive influence on earnings management. The hypothesis proposed is:

H₃: Leverage affects earnings management.

Company size

Company size has a positive influence on earnings management (Lidiawati and Asyik, 2016). This is because large companies avoid drastic increases in profits in order to avoid high tax burdens and a drastic decline in profits in order to avoid a decrease in firm value. This opinion is supported by Amertha, Ulupui, & Putri (2014), Ali et al. (2015), Jatiningrum, Hamid, & Popoola (2016), Susanto (2016), Yuliana and Trisnawati (2015), Kusumaningtyas (2012), and Zeptian and Rohman (2013) which state that company size has a positive influence on earnings management.

Company size has a negative influence on earnings management (Jao and Pagalung, 2011). This is because large companies will be more careful in carrying out earnings management because they are more concerned about the environment outside the company, in that case, the company will report their condition more accurately. Large companies are also better resourced to develop and create robust and sophisticated internal control and governance mechanisms. This opinion is supported by Susanto et al. (2019), Susanto (2016), Abbadi, Hijazi, & Rahahleh (2016), Purwanti and Rahardjo (2012), Jesus and Emma (2013), Swastika (2013), Ahmad, Anjun, & Azeem (2014), Debnath (2017) and Firmanti (2017) which state that company size has a negative influence on earnings management. The hypothesis proposed is

H₄: Company size affects earnings management.

Sales Growth

Sales growth has a positive influence on earnings management (Heidarpoor, Rafiee, & Rafiee, 2014). This means that the increasing sales growth will affect earnings management that are getting bigger. This opinion is supported by Gonzalez and Meca (2014) and Llukani (2013) which state that sales growth has a positive influence on earnings management. The hypothesis proposed is:

H₅: Sales growth affects earnings management.

METHOD

The research objects taken for this study came from non-financial companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2016-2018. The results of sampling are presented in the following table:

Table 1: Sampling result

No.	Criteria	Firm	Data
1.	Non-financial companies consistently listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange during the 2015-2018	419	1257
2.	Non-financial companies that do not use rupiah currency.	(84)	(252)
4.	Non-financial companies that did not publish their financial statements for the financial year ended December 31.	(3)	(9)
5.	Non-financial companies that do not make a profit.	(117)	(351)
6.	Non-financial companies that do not have institutional ownership.	(21)	(63)
Total Sample		194	582

Source: data proces

Earnings management is measured using absolute discretionary accruals and applying the modified jones model, as follows:

$$\frac{TAC_{it}}{A_{it-1}} = \beta_0 \frac{1}{A_{it-1}} + \beta_1 \frac{\Delta REV_{it} - \Delta REC_{it}}{A_{it-1}} + \beta_2 \frac{PPE_{it}}{A_{it-1}} + \epsilon_{it} \quad (1)$$

Where: TAC_{it} Total Accruals year t, $NI_{it} - CFO_{it}$, NI_{it} net income year t, CFO_{it} operating cash flow year t, $A_{i,t-1}$ Total asset year t-1, ΔREV_{it} changes in revenue, ΔREC_{it} changes in account receivable, PPE_{it} gross property, plant, and equipment year t, ϵ_{it} discretionary accruals. Bonus motivation is measured by the ratio of net income before tax to the company's total assets (Nurdiniah & Herlina, 2015). Free cash flow is measured by the difference between operating cash flow and investment cash flow, the results are scaled total assets. Leverage measurement uses the Debt to Assets. Company size is measured using the natural logarithm of total assets (Wimelda & Chandra, 2018). Sales growth is measured by the change in current sales divided by the previous year's sales (Linasmu, 2017). The multiple regression equation model used in this study is as follows:

$$EM = \alpha + \beta_1 ROA + \beta_2 FCF + \beta_3 LEV + \beta_4 FS + \beta_5 SG + \epsilon \quad (2)$$

Where: EM earnings management, α constant, β_1 - β_5 coefficient, ROA bonus motivation, FCF free cash flow, LEV leverage, FS company size, SG sales growth, ϵ error

RESULT AND DISCUSION

The t test results are shown in the following table:

Table 2: Result of t-test

Variable	B	Sig.
(Constant)	0.129	0.005
ROA	0.092	0.004***
FCF	- 0.058	0.005***
LEV	0.044	0.000***
FS	- 0.003	0.045**
SG	0.032	0.003***

Dependent variable: Earnings management

** Sig<5% ***Sig<1%

source: statistic output

The results of the t test above indicate that the bonus motivation (ROA) has a coefficient (B) of 0.092 and a significance value of 0.004. It can be concluded that H1 is accepted, meaning that bonus motivation has an influence on earnings management. The coefficient (B) of 0.092 indicates that bonus motivation has a positive effect on earnings management. The results of the research are consistent with Amertha, Ulupui, & Putri (2014), Yuliana and Trisnawati (2015), and Arifin and Destriana (2016). The opportunistic behavior of managers encourages earnings management. This behavior can be seen from the management reporting earnings to get a bonus.

The results of the t test above indicate that free cash flow (FCF) has a coefficient (B) of -0.058 and a significance value of 0.005. It can be concluded that H2 is accepted, meaning that free cash flow (FCF) has effect on earnings management. The coefficient (B) of -0.058 indicates that free cash flow has a negative effect on earnings management. The results of the research are consistent with Wimelda and Chandra (2018), Yogi and Damayanthi (2016), Susanto and Bosta (2018) and Ghazali, Shafie, & Sanusi (2015). Companies that have sufficient free cash flow have more confidence in their financial performance, therefore are less likely to take earnings management (Wimelda & Chandra, 2018).

The t test results show that leverage (LEV) has a coefficient value (B) of 0.044 and a significance value of 0.000. It can be concluded that H3 is accepted, meaning that leverage has an influence on earnings management. The coefficient (B) of 0.044 indicates that leverage has a positive effect on earnings management. This shows the weakness of the creditor's monitoring of management to carry out earnings management. The results of the research are consistent with Susanto, Pirzada, & Adrianne (2019), Wimelda and Chandra (2018), Arifin and Destriana (2016), and Bassiouny, Soliman, & Ragab (2016).

The t test results show that the company size (FS) has a coefficient value (B) - 0.003 and a significance value of 0.045. It can be concluded that H4 is accepted, meaning that company size has an influence on earnings management. The coefficient (B) of -0.003 indicates that company size has a negative influence on earnings management. The results of the research are consistent with Firnanti (2017), Debnath (2017), Susanto (2016) and Abbadi, Hijazi, & Rahaleh (2016). This is because large companies will be more careful in carrying out earnings management because they will report their condition more accurately. Large companies also have better resources to develop and create robust and sophisticated internal control and governance mechanisms (Jao & Pagalung, 2011).

The results of the t test above indicate that sales growth (SG) has a coefficient (B) of 0.032 and a significance value of 0.003. It can be concluded that H5 is accepted, meaning that sales growth has effect on earnings management. The coefficient (B) of 0.032 indicates that sales growth has a positive influence on earnings management. The results of the research are consistent with Heidarpour, Rafiee, & Rafiee (2014), Gonzalez and Meca (2014) and Llukani (2013). This shows that the greater the sales growth of a company, the higher the probability of earnings management. If the company has a high sales growth, company management can use it to get a bigger bonus because of it, management may take earnings management. The higher the sales growth, the greater

the income the company earns so that the tax the company must pay will be even greater. Companies carry out their earnings management by reducing earnings to reduce the tax burden that must be paid (Yunietha & Palupi, 2017).

CONCLUSION

This study proves empirically that bonus motivation, free cash flow, leverage, company size, and sales growth have effect on earnings management. The influence of bonus motivation and leverage on earnings management is significant and positive. This suggests that opportunistic behavior (bonus motivation) triggers earnings management. Meanwhile, the external monitoring (creditors) is weak to suppress earnings management. The research implication is that investors focus on bonuses received by management as an indication of earnings management. Suggestions for further research are to use data from ASEAN countries to see a comparison of the opportunistic behavior of each country as well as differences in external monitoring mechanisms to earnings management. In addition to adding the audit committee as moderating (Susanto, 2016).

REFERENCES

- Abbadi, S.S., Hijazi, O.F., & Rahahleh, A.S.A. (2016). Corporate Governance Quality and Earnings Management: Evidence from Jordan. *Australian Accounting, Business and Finance Journal*, 10(2), 54-75.
- Ahmad, A., Anjun, M.T., & Azeem, M. (2014). Investigating the Impact of Corporate Governance on Earning Management in the Presence of Company size; Evidence from Pakistan. *Vidyabharati International Interdisciplinary Research Journal*, 3(2), 84-90.
- Ali, U., Noor, M.A., Khurshid, M.K., & Mahmood, A. (2015). Impact of Company size on Earnings Management; A Study of Textile Sector of Pakistan. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 7(28), 47-56.
- Amertha, I.S.P., Ulupui, I.G.K.A., & Putri, I.G.A.M.A.D. (2014). Analysis of Company size, Leverage, Corporate Governance on Earnings Management Practices (Indonesian Evidence). *Journal of Economics, Business, and Accountancy Ventura*, 17(2), 259-268.
- Arifin, L., & Destriana, N. (2016). The effect of company size, corporate governance, & firm characteristics on earnings management. *Jurnal Bisnis dan Akuntansi*, 18(1).
- Aygun, M., Suleyman Ic, & Sayim, M. (2014). The Effects of Corporate Ownership Structure and Board Size on Earnings Management: Evidence from Turkey. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 9(12), 124-132.
- Bassiouny, S.W, Soliman, M.M., & Ragab, A. (2016). The Impact of Firm Characteristics on Earnings Management: An Empirical Study on the Listed Firms in Egypt. *The Business and Management Review*, 7(2), 91-101.
- Cardoso, F.T., Martinez, A.L., & Teixeira, A.J.C. (2014). Free Cash Flow and Earnings Management in Brazil: The Negative Side of Financial Slack. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research: Accounting and Auditing*, 14(1), 84-96.
- Debnath, P. (2017). Assaying the Impact of Firm's Growth and Performance on Earnings Management: An Empirical Observation of Indian Economy. *International Journal of Research in Business Studies and Management*, 4(2), 30-40.
- Firnanti, F. (2017). The effect of corporate governance and other factors on earnings management. *Jurnal Bisnis dan Akuntansi*. 19(1), 66-80.
- Ghazali, A.W., Shafie, N.A., & Sanusi, Z.M. (2015). Earnings management: an analysis of opportunistic behavior, monitoring mechanism and financial distress. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 28, 190 – 201.
- Gonzalez, J.S., & Meca, E.G. (2014). Does Corporate Governance Influence Earnings Management in Latin American Markets?. *J Bus Ethics*, 121, 419-440.
- Guna, I.W., & Herawaty, A. (2010). The effect of good corporate governance mechanism, auditor independence, audit quality, and other factorson earnings management. *Jurnal Bisnis dan Akuntansi*, 12(1), 53-68.
- Heidarpoor, F., Rafiee, S.Z., & Rafiee, S.Z. (2014). Drivers of Earnings Management: The Profit and Loss before Earning Management. *International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting*. 4(2).
- Jao, R., & Pagalung, G. (2011). Corporate governance, company size, and leverage on earnings management in Indonesia public company. *Jurnal Akuntansi & Auditing*, 8(1), 43-54.
- Jatiningrum, C., Hamid, M.A.A., & Popoola, O.M.J. (2016). The Impact of disclosure quality on corporate governance and earnings management: Evidence from Companies in Indonesia. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 6, 118-125.
- Kusumaningtyas, M. (2012). The effect of Pengaruh audit committee independence and institutional ownership on earning management. *Prestasi*, 4(1), 41-61.
- Kusumawardhani, I. (2012). The effect of corporate governance, ownership structure, and company size on earnings management. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Sistem Teknologi Informasi*, 9(1), 41-54.
- Lidiawati, N., and Asyik, N.F. (2016). The effect of audit quality, audit committee, institutional ownership, fir size on earnings management. *Jurnal Ilmu dan Riset Akuntansi*, 5(5), 1-19.
- Linasmi, A. (2017). The Effect of company size on profit management. *Journal of Applied Accounting and Taxation*, 2(1), 61-67.
- Llukani, T. (2013). Earnings Management and Company size: An Empirical Analyze in Albanian Market. *European Scientific Journal*, 9(16), 135-143.
- Nurdiniah, D., & Herlina, L. (2015). Analysis of Factors Affecting the Motivation of Earnings Management in Manufacturing Listed in Indonesia Stock Exchange. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 6(3), 100-107.
- Purwanti, R.B., & Rahardjo, S.N. (2012). The effect of managerial Kecakapan, audit quality, audit committee, company size, and leverage on earnings management (emperical study on manufacturing company listing BEI year 2008-2010). *Diponegoro Journal of Accounting*, 1(1), 1-12.
- Prastiti, Anindyah, & Meiranto, W. (2013). The effect of comisioner board characteristics and audit committee on earnings management. *Diponegoro Journal of Accounting*, 2(4), 1-12.

- Santoso, A.F., & Pudjolaksono, E. (2013). The effect of good corporate governance on earnings management in property and real estate company listing BEI period 2009 – 2012. *Calyptatra: Jurnal Ilmiah Mahasiswa Universitas Surabaya*, Vol. 2, No. 2: 1-20.
- Sosiawan, S.Y. (2012). The effect of compensation, leverage, company size, earnings power on earnings management. *Jurnal Riset Akuntansi Keuangan*, 8(1), 79-89.
- Subramanyam, K.R. (2014). *Financial Statement Analysis*, 11th Edition. New York: McGraw-Hill Education.
- Suhendra. (2015). "BEI: Laporan Keuangan Inovisi Salah Saji, Suspend Saham Belum akan dibuka". Bareksacom, 25 Februari, (<http://www.bareksa.com/id/text/2015/02/25/bei-laporan-keuangan-inovisi-salah-saji-suspend-saham-belum-akan-dibuka/9562/analysis>, 4 September 2017).
- Susanto, Y.K. (2013). The Effect of Corporate Governance Mechanism on Earning Management Practice (Case Study on Indonesia Manufacturing Study). *Jurnal Bisnis dan Akuntansi*, 15(2), 157-167.
- Susanto, Y.K. (2016). The Effect of Audit Committees and Corporate Governance on Earnings Management: Evidence from Indonesia Manufacturing Industry. *International Journal of Business, Economics and Law*, 10(1), 32-37.
- Susanto, Y.K. (2016). The Effect of Corporate Social and Environmental Responsibility Disclosure on Earnings Management: Female Audit Committee as Moderating. *South East Asia Journal of Contemporary Business, Economics and Law*, 9(1), 22 – 27.
- Susanto, Y.K., & Bosta, E. (2018). Free Cash Flow, Firm Characteristic, Corporate Governance on Earnings Management. *In Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Entrepreneurship and Business Management (ICEBM Untar 2018)*.
- Susanto, Y.K., Pradipta, A., & Cecilia, E. (2019). Earnings Management: ESOP and Corporate Governance. *Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies Journal*, 23(Special Issue 1).
- Susanto Y.K., Pirezada K., & Adrienne S. (2019), Is tax aggressiveness an indicator of earnings management? *Polish Journal of Management Studies*, 20(2), 516-527.
- Swastika, D.L.T. (2013). Corporate Governance, Company size, and Earning Management: Evidence in Indonesia Stock Exchange. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM)*, 10(4), 77-82.
- Wimelda, L., & Chandra, A. (2018). Opportunistic Behavior, External Monitoring Mechanisms, Corporate Governance, and Earnings Management. *Acc. Fin. Review*, 3(1), 44- 52.
- Yogi, L.M.D.P., & Damayanthi, I.G.A.E. (2016). The effect of free cash flow, capital adequacy ratio, and good corporate governance on earnings management. *E-Jurnal Akuntansi Universitas Udayana*, 15(2), 1056–1085.
- Yuliana, A., & Trisnawati, I. (2015). The effect of auditor and financial ratio on earnings management. *Jurnal Bisnis dan Akuntansi*, 17(1), 33-45.
- Yunietha, & Palupi, A. (2017). The effect of corporate governance and other factors on earnings management in non-financial public company. *Jurnal Bisnis dan Akuntansi*, 19(1), 292-303.

Yulius Kurnia Susanto
Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Trisakti,
Jl. Kyai Tapa No. 20, Jakarta, Indonesia
Email: yulius@tsm.ac.id

Arya Pradipta
Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Trisakti,
Jl. Kyai Tapa No. 20, Jakarta, Indonesia